

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
	10/507,413	09/13/2004	Masayuki Nate	121108	6891
	25944 75	590 01/10/2006		EXAM	INER
		RRIDGE, PLC		EWALD, MARIA VERONICA	
	P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•		1722	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				LV.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		10/507,413	NATE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maria Veronica D. Ewald	1722			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/13/04</u> is/are: a) accapplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

13. Figures 2 and 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7, 11 – 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukuda, et al. (U.S. 4,802,840). Fukuda, et al. teach a die for forming a honeycomb body, the die comprising a plate having a predetermined size and provided with a plurality of cell blocks on a front face thereof, the cell blocks being basically defined by four slits (item 2 – figure 2; column 3, lines 25 – 30); and a plurality of back holes on a

**Art Unit: 1722** 

back face of the die, each back hole being communicatively connected with a cross point of a predetermined slit (item 5 – figure 4; column 3, lines 30 – 32), wherein a plurality of cell blocks are disposed per one side of the die (figure 2; column 3, lines 25 – 30). Furthermore, Fukuda, et al. teach that the predetermined slits are disposed at every one position of holes (figure 4) and the thickness of the die is 15 – 30 mm (column 6, lines 27 – 34).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda, et al. in view of Suzuki (U.S. 6,193,497). Fukuda, et al. teach the characteristics previously described but do not teach that the radius of curvature of the four corners of the die is between 0.5 to 1.5 mm.

In a method to form an extrusion die for a honeycomb structural body, Suzuki teaches a die comprised of a plurality of intersecting slits arranged on the front surface of a die, forming cell blocks and a plurality of raw material feeding holes arranged on the back surface of the die (column 3, lines 8-14). Suzuki also displays the results of several tests in which the radius of curvature of the round portion of the die is varied between  $0-160~\mu m$  or 0-16~mm. From the tests performed, Suzuki concluded that

Application/Control Number: 10/507,413

Art Unit: 1722

the target properties of compression strength, isostatic strength, thermal shock resistance, and transformation amount were optimized when the radius of curvature was between  $15-80 \mu m$  or 1.5-8.0 mm (figure 3; column 6, lines 43-48). This reads on the Applicant's claim that the radius of curvature of the four corners of the die be 0.5 to 1.5 mm.

It would have been obvious at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the die structure of Fukuda, et al. such that the radius of curvature is 0.5 to 1.5 mm to optimize target properties of the formed honeycomb structural body as taught by Suzuki.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda, et al. in view of Masaaki, et al. (JP 2000-326318). Fukuda, et al. teach the characteristics previously described but do not teach that the die is made of cemented carbide formed by compacting and sintering.

In a method to manufacture a die for a honeycomb structure, Masaaki, et al. teach a process whereby the die is formed from cemented carbide sintered with the addition of metals, being of iron, cobalt and nickel added 3 to 30 %, at least (paragraphs 0035 – 0037; page 5 of 11). This manufacturing process and selection of materials ensures a junction strength (where two or three metal surfaces are joined to make the die) and toughness (paragraphs 0009, 0038; pages 2 and 5 of 11). This reads on the Applicant's claims that the die is made of cemented carbide and wherein the cemented carbide is formed by compacting, followed by sintering at high temperature, metal

Application/Control Number: 10/507,413 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

carbide powder of transition metal element series with an iron group metal binder having toughness.

It would have been obvious at the time of the Applicant's invention to manufacture the die structure of Fukuda, et al. from cemented carbide followed by sintering and the addition of iron, cobalt or nickel for the purpose of ensuring that the die structure has adequate junction strength and toughness as taught by Masaaki, et al.

#### Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Veronica D. Ewald whose telephone number is 571-272-8519. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**MVE** 

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP-1300

1/9/04